



UNIVERSITY OF
GOTHENBURG

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION, COMMUNICATION AND LEARNING

FOU1820, Qualitative research methods in educational sciences, 5 credits

Kvalitativa metoder i utbildningsvetenskaplig forskning, 5 högskolepoäng

Third-cycle level / Forskarnivå

Reading and reference list for FOU1820

This reading and reference list was confirmed by Department of Education, Communication and Learning 2024-10-02, and is valid from Autumn semester 2024.

The reading and reference list is available on the next page.



DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION, COMMUNICATION AND LEARNING

FOU1820 Qualitative research methods in educational sciences, 5 credits

Kvalitativa metoder i utbildningsvetenskaplig forskning, 5 högskolepoäng

Third-cycle level/ Forskarnivå

Required reading list

Cohen, L., Manion, L., & Morrison, K. (2018). The nature of enquiry: Setting the field. In L. Cohen, L. Manion, & K Morrison (Eds.) *Research Methods in Education* (eighth edition, pp 3-30). Taylor & Francis.

Bacchi, C. (2012). Introducing ‘What’s the problem represented to be?’ approach. In: A. Bletsas & C. Beasley (Eds.). *Engaging with Carol Bacchi. Strategic interventions and exchanges* (pp. 21-24). University of Adelaide Press.

<https://www.adelaide.edu.au/graduatecentre/system/files/media/documents/2019-03/wpr-summary.pdf>

Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, 3(2), 77–101. <https://doi.org/10.1191/1478088706qp063oa>

Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2019). Reflecting on reflexive thematic analysis. *Qualitative Research in Sport, Exercise, and Health*, 11(4), 589–597. <https://doi.org/10.1080/2159676X.2019.1628806>

Braun, V., Clarke, V., Hayfield, N., & Terry, G. (2019). Thematic Analysis, I P. Liamputpong (Red.), *Handbook of Research Methods in Health and Social Sciences* (s. 843–860). Springer

Fontana, A., & Frey, J. H. (2000). The interview: From structured questions to negotiated text. In N. K. Denzin, & Y. S. Lincoln (Eds.), *Handbook of qualitative research* (2nd ed., pp. 645-672). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.

[http://www.iot.ntnu.no/innovation/norsi-common-courses/Lineon/Fontana%20&%20Frey%20\(2000\)%20Interview.pdf](http://www.iot.ntnu.no/innovation/norsi-common-courses/Lineon/Fontana%20&%20Frey%20(2000)%20Interview.pdf)

Goodwin, C., & Heritage, J. (1990). Conversation analysis. *Annual Review of Anthropology*, 19, 283–307.

http://www.sscnet.ucla.edu/soc/faculty/heritage/Site/Publications_files/CA_GOODWIN_HERITAGE.pdf

- Jeffrey, B. (2018). Ethnographic writing. In D. Beach, C. Barley & S. Marques da Silva (Eds.), *The Wiley Handbook of Ethnography of Education* (Chapter 6). Wiley [available at UB]
- Keyton, J. (2018). Interaction analysis: An introduction. In E. Brauner, M. Boos & M. Kolbe (Eds.). *The Cambridge handbook of group interaction analysis* (pp. 3–19). Cambridge University Press. <https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/abs/cambridge-handbook-of-group-interaction-analysis/interaction-analysis/43B280FF2F080E15B99F1C2715F510A6>
- Kenway, J., Fahey, J., Epstein, D., Koh, A., McCarthy, C., & Rizvi, F. (2018). Multisited global ethnography and elite schools: A methodological entrée. In D. Beach, C. Barley & S. Marques da Silva (Eds.), *The Wiley Handbook of Ethnography of Education* (Chapter 18). Wiley. [available at UB]
- Newman, M., & Gough, D. (2020). Systematic reviews in educational research: Methodology, perspectives and application. *Systematic reviews in educational research*, 3-22. In O. Zawacki-Richter, M. Kerres, S. Bedenlier, M. Bond, & K. Buntins (Eds.), *Systematic reviews in educational research: Methodology, perspectives and application* (pp. 41–54). Springer. https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-3-658-27602-7_1
- Twining, P., Heller, R. S., Nussbaum, M., & Tsai, C. C. (2017). Some guidance on conducting and reporting qualitative studies. *Computers & Education*, 106, A1-A9. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2016.12.002>

Vetenskapsrådet (2024). *God forskningssed/Good research practice*. Stockholm: Vetenskapsrådet. <https://www.vr.se/5.72b0739e191e4e20965125b6.html>

Walford, G. (2018). Recognizable continuity: A defense of multiple methods. In D. Beach, C. Barley & S. Marques da Silva (Eds.), *The Wiley Handbook of Ethnography of Education* (Chapter 1). Wiley. [available at UB]

Additional journal articles and texts can be added.

Reference literature in selection

- Barab, S., & Squire, K. (2004). Design-based research: Putting a stake in the ground. *Journal of the Learning Sciences*, 13(1), 1–14.
- Biernacki, P., & Waldorf, D. (1981). Snowball sampling: Problems and techniques of chain referral sampling. *Sociological Methods & Research*, 10(2), 141–163. <https://doi.org/10.1177/004912418101000205>.
- Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2021). One size fits all? What counts as quality practice in (reflexive) thematic analysis? *Qualitative research in psychology*, 18(3), 328-352. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14780887.2020.1769238>

Derry, S. J., Pea, R. D., Barron, B., Engle, R. A., Erickson, F., Goldman, R., Hall, R., Koschmann, T., Lemke, J. L., Sherin, M. G., & Sherin, B. L. (2010). Conducting video research in the learning sciences: Guidance on selection, analysis, technology, and ethics. *Journal of the Learning Sciences*, 19(1), 3–53. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10508400903452884>

Edwards-Groves, C., & Rönnerman, K. (2021). *Generative Leadership. Rescripting the Promise of Action Research*. Springer. <https://www.springer.com/gp/book/9789813345614>

Franzke, A. S., Bechmann, A., Zimmer, M., & Ess, C. (2020). *Internet research: Ethical guidelines 3.0: Association of internet researchers*. Available online: <https://aoir.org/reports/ethics3.pdf>.

- Gough, D. (2007). Weight of evidence: A framework for the appraisal of the quality and relevance of evidence. *Applied and Practice-based Research*, 22(2), 213–228. doi: 10.1080/02671520701296189
- Gough, D., Thomas, J., & Oliver, S. (2012). Clarifying differences between review designs and methods. *Systematic Reviews*, 1(28), 2–9. <http://www.systematicreviewsjournal.com/content/1/1/28>
- Jordan, B., & Henderson, A. (1995). Interaction Analysis: Foundations and Practice. *The Journal of the Learning Sciences*, 4(1), 39–103.
- Nowell, L. S., Norris, J. M., White, D. E. & Moules, N. J. (2017). Thematic Analysis: Striving to meet the trustworthiness criteria. *International Journal of Qualitative Methods*, 16, 1–13.
doi:10.1177/1609406917733847
- Parker, C., Scott, S., & Geddes, A. (2019). Snowball sampling. SAGE research methods foundations.
- Plowman, L., & Stephen, C. (2008). The big Picture? Video and the representation of interaction. *British Educational Research Journal*, 34(4), 541–565. doi: 10.1080/01411920701609422
- Schegloff, E. A. (1987). Analyzing single episodes of interaction: An exercise in conversation analysis. *Social Psychology Quarterly*, 50(2), 101–114.
- Silverman, D. (2016). *Qualitative Research*. SAGE Publications.
- Suri, H. (2020). Ethical considerations of conducting systematic reviews in educational research. In O. Zawacki-Richter, M. Kerres, S. Bedenlier, M. Bond, & K. Buntins (Eds.), *Systematic reviews in educational research: Methodology, perspectives and application* (pp. 41–54). Springer.
https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-658-27602-7_3
- Zimmer, M. (2010). “But the data is already public”: on the ethics of research on Facebook. *Ethics and Information Technology*, 2(4), 313–325. doi:10.1007/s10676-010-922